UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/643,034	08/18/2003	Chandrasekhar Narayanaswami	YOR920030124US1	3226	
34663 MICHAEL J. F	7590 12/27/200 BUCHENHORNER	6	EXAMINER		
8540 S.W. 83 S	STREET		RICHER, AARON M		
MIAMI, FL 33143			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2628		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MO	NTHS	12/27/2006	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/643,034	NARAYANASWA	MI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Aaron M. Richer	.2628				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence a	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 16(a). In no event, however, may a rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC cause the application to become A	IICATION. a reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Oc	ctober 2006					
	action is non-final.					
<u>/=</u>	· <del>_</del>					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	•					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-14 and 16-25</u> is/are pending in t	he application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8-12 and 20-25</u> is/are	• •	eration				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				
6) Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-7, 13, 14 and 16-19</u> is/are reject	ed.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		by the Examiner				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti			FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			Stage			
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies no	t received.	•			
•						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)	Informal Patent Application				

/

Art Unit: 2628

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

# Claim Objections

2. Claims 8-12 and 20-25 are objected to because of the following informalities: These claims have incorrect status identifiers in the claim listing. The correct status identifier for these claims is "Withdrawn".

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 7, 13, 14, 16, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Carlsen (U.S. Patent 6,020,897).
- As to claims 1 and 13, Carlsen discloses:
   converting one-bit per pixel images to multiple bits per pixel images (fig. 2; col. 8, lines 53-65)
- overlapping the multiple bits per pixel images, according to an overlap function, to create a composite multiple bits per image (fig. 2; col. 8, lines 1-39);

Application/Control Number: 10/643,034

Art Unit: 2628

converting the composite multiple bits per pixel image into a dithered one-bit per pixel image by applying a spatial dithering algorithm (fig. 2; col. 8, lines 40-44; halftoning is equivalent to spatial dithering);

wherein steps a), b), and c) are performed using images which exist only in memory (fig. 1, fig. 2; all of the operations of fig. 2 occur in the "shallow" frame buffer which reads on a memory, only the resultant image is passed to a print engine or display);

and presenting the dithered one-bit per pixel image on a display (col. 6, lines 3-14).

- 6. As to claim 2, Carlsen discloses applying a first gray level to a first image and a second gray level to a second image wherein the gray levels are applied so as to create visual distinction between the images (col. 10, lines 24-42; each segment of an image has a different gray level applied; this is reasonably similar to separate images that have different gray levels applied).
- 7. As to claim 3, Carlsen discloses a number of overlap functions including an ADD function (col. 8, lines 20-39).
- 8. As to claims 7, 16, and 19, Carlsen discloses a method wherein the device is a one-bit per pixel computer monitor (col. 6, lines 3-14; if halftone data is output, the display is displaying data with only one bit per pixel).
- 9. As to claim 14, Carlsen discloses memory for storing instructions for performing conversion and blending steps and a processor for performing the instructions (fig. 1, elements 51, 52, 58, 64).

Art Unit: 2628

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 5, 6, 17, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carlsen.
- 12. As to claims 5, 6, 17, and 18, Carlsen does not expressly disclose a dithered one-bit per pixel image on a watch face, nor does Carlsen disclose a hand-held information processing system. However, official notice has been taken of the fact that both one-bit per pixel watch and handheld computer displays are well-known in the art (see MPEP 2144.03). Most LCD watches sold have elements that are either on or off, and many PDAs (handheld processing systems) use displays that are also only capable of one-bit pixels. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Carlsen to use a watch or handheld computer in order to increase portability of the display system.

#### Conclusion

13. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2628

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aaron M. Richer whose telephone number is (571) 272-7790. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 8:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kee Tung can be reached on (571) 272-7794. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2628

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AMR 12/16/06

> KEE M. TUNG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER